



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,210	10/16/2003	Edward A. Hubbard	NING0012	7130
75671 7590 06/24/2010 Sadler, Breen, Morasch & Colby, ps 422 W. Riverside Ave, Suite 424 Spokane, WA 99201				
EXAMINER NASH, LASHANYA RENJEE				
ART UNIT 2453		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 06/24/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptocorrespondence@sbmc-law.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/687,210

Applicant(s)

HUBBARD ET AL.

Examiner

LASHANYA R. NASH

Art Unit

2453

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 29-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 29-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/22)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/2010

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 25 February 2010. Claims 1-28 are cancelled. Claims 29, 30, 34, 35, 37-40, 43, 49-53 are currently amended. Claims 29-54 are presented for further consideration.

Response to Arguments

In regards to the objection to the specification, Examiner notes Applicant's arguments, (see Remarks page 10). Additionally Examiner notes the citations of the specification alleged to support the "tangible computer readable medium" claims 43-48. However, Examiner asserts that the specification fails to explicitly recite or suggest a computer readable medium embodiment, as claimed by Applicant. Examiner further asserts that personal computing systems, internet appliances, and the like disclosed in the specification do not inherently denote that the aforementioned devices comprise a tangible computer-readable medium having instructions stored thereon, as claimed. It is not necessary for a computer to read instructions from an article of manufacture, as there are various components and means readily known in the art in which a computer can obtain and execute computer programs. Without providing an exhaustive list of such components and means, Examiner notes that it is conventional to manually program instructions into the computing device itself, and subsequently execute the programs on that computing device. Examiner suggests amending the claims to recite "computer-readable storage medium", as supported by hard disk drives and devices with storage capabilities disclosed in Applicant's specification (page 16, lines 12-21).

Lastly, Examiner notes that common knowledge of computer readable medium to implement software and instructions (See Remarks page 10) is not an adequate basis to constitute a disclosure in the specification.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks page 11, filed 25 February 2010, with respect to the rejections of claim 29 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn, in light of the amendments (i.e. wherein to incentivize use comprises an incentive that is based at least in part on a type of the at least one sensor). However, upon further consideration, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference Biorge et al. (US Patent 5,806,045), as set forth below in the Office action.

Claims 30-36 depend from claim 29, and subsequently a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art, Biorge.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks page 11, filed 25 February 2010, with respect to the rejections of claim 37 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn, in light of the amendments (i.e. wherein incentivizing comprises providing an incentive that is based at least in part on a type of said at least one sensor). However, upon further consideration, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference Biorge et al. (US Patent 5,806,045), as set forth below in the Office action.

Claims 38-42 depend from claim 29, and subsequently a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art, Biorge.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks page 11, filed 25 February 2010, with respect to the rejections of claim 43 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn, in light of the amendments (i.e. the beneficial incentive based at least in part on a type of the one or more remote environmental sensors). However, upon further consideration, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference Biorge et al. (US Patent 5,806,045), as set forth below in the Office action.

Claims 44-48 depend from claim 29, and subsequently a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art, Biorge.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks page 11, filed 25 February 2010, with respect to the rejections of claim 49 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn, in light of the amendments (i.e. identifying, by the one or more server systems, one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices and incentivizing being based, at least in part, upon the one or more capabilities, associated with the one or more remote distributed devices). However, upon further consideration,

a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference Biorge et al. (US Patent 5,806,045), as set forth below in the Office action.

Claims 50-53 depend from claim 29, and subsequently a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art, Biorge.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks page 11, filed 25 February 2010, with respect to the rejections of claim 54 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn, in light of the amendments (i.e. means for identifying one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices and incentivizing, utilizing, at least in part, the one or more capabilities, associated with the one or more remote distributed devices). However, upon further consideration, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference Biorge et al. (US Patent 5,806,045), as set forth below in the Office action.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). There is no support in the specification for the claimed tangible computer-readable medium of claims 43-48. Examiner suggests amending the aforementioned claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In reference to claims 43-48, Examiner notes that although Applicant's specification discloses computer system (i.e. personal computers, internet appliances, notebooks, etc.) the disclosure does not limit the scope of the claims exclusively to non-transitory forms of media. Thus, Examiner applies the broadest reasonable interpretation to the claimed "a tangible computer-readable medium" and considers the claims to intend to cover both transitory and non-transitory media. As a result, the transitory media (i.e. propagating signal) which is not patentable subject matter causes the claims to be rejected under 35 USC §101, as non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. (US Patent 6,611,686) and Biorge (US Patent 5,806,045), hereinafter referred to as Smith and Biorge respectively.

In reference to claim 29, Smith discloses a tracking control and logistics system employing remotely located sensors via a network (abstract). Smith further discloses:

- A system, comprising:
- A server system (server; Figure 3-item 104) configured to:
- communicate with one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. monitor devices; column 5, lines 35-51; Figure 3-items 10), the one or more remote distributed devices configured to receive data from at least one environmental sensor (i.e. input ports of monitoring device connected to sensors; column 6, lines 60-column 7, line 4; column 10, lines 20-28) ;
- or more remote distributed devices to provide environmental data (i.e. temperature data; abstract; column 7, lines 9-13) and location data corresponding to the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. location data of monitoring device; column 9, lines 61-65);
- receive the environmental data and the location data from the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. server receives tracking data from the monitor units; column 13, lines 38-42).

However the reference fails to disclose incentivize the one or more remote distributed devices to provide environmental data and location data corresponding to the one or more remote distributed devices, wherein to incentivize use comprises providing an

incentive that is based at least in part on a type of the at least one sensor. Nonetheless, this would have been an obvious modification to the teachings of Smith for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, as further evidenced by Biorge.

In an analogous art, Biorge discloses a system for allocating and redeeming incentivized credits for devices (abstract). Biorge further discloses incentivize the one or more remote distributed devices to provide data corresponding to the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. incentives for user devices to be involved in transactions; column 5, lines 20-33), wherein to incentivize use comprises providing an incentive that is based at least in part on a type of the at least one sensor (i.e. incentives based on incentive code and customer device identification for a particular device; column 5, lines 50-62; column 8, lines 15-30). One of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the server of Smith to provide incentives so as to encourage certain user actions and further increase data gathered by the system by continued user (i.e. future use; Biorge; column 1, lines 25-37).

In reference to claim 37, Smith discloses a tracking control and logistics method for employing remotely located sensors via a network (abstract). Smith further discloses:

- A method comprising:
- Identifying, by one or more server systems, one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. monitoring device identification number; column 13, lines 30-38)

configured to sense an environmental condition with at least one sensor (i.e. sensors of monitoring devices detect temperature data; column 6, lines 60-column 7, line 13) , (i.e. server identifies the monitoring devices; column 13, lines 25-30) ;

- the one or more remote distributed devices to provide environmental data corresponding to a sensed environmental condition(i.e. temperature data; abstract; column 7, lines 9-13), and location data corresponding to a location of the one or more remote distributed devices by the one or more server systems devices (i.e. location data of monitoring device; column 9, lines 61-65);
- receiving environmental data and location data from the one or more remote distributed devices by the one or more server systems (i.e. server receives tracking data from the monitor units; column 13, lines 38-42); and

However the reference fails to disclose incentivizing, by the one or more server systems, use of the one or more remote distributed devices to provide environmental data and location data corresponding to the one or more remote distributed devices; wherein to incentivize use comprises providing an incentive that is based at least in part on a type of the at least one sensor. Nonetheless, this would have been an obvious modification to the teachings of Smith for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, as further evidenced by Biorge.

In an analogous art, Biorge discloses a system for allocating and redeeming incentivized credits for devices (abstract). Biorge further discloses incentivize the one or more remote distributed devices to provide data corresponding to the one or more

remote distributed devices (i.e. incentives for user devices to be involved in transactions; column 5, lines 20-33), wherein to incentivize use comprises providing an incentive that is based at least in part on a type of the at least one sensor (i.e. incentives based on incentive code and customer device identification for a particular device; column 5, lines 50-62; column 8, lines 15-30). One of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the server of Smith to provide incentives so as to encourage certain user actions and further increase data gathered by the system by continued user (i.e. future use; Biorge; column 1, lines 25-37).

In reference to claim 43, Smith discloses a computer-readable medium comprising programming for implementing tracking control and logistics method for employing remotely located sensors via a network (abstract; Figure 5). Smith further discloses:

- A tangible computer-readable medium having instructions stored thereon, the instructions comprising (i.e. programming of monitoring unit; column 8, lines 48-54):
- wherein the instructions cause the sensor based distributed processing system to be formed (i.e. command certain monitoring units to for a monitored system; column 13, lines 17-25) by coupling one or more remote environmental sensors (i.e. input ports of monitoring device connected to sensors; column 6, lines 60-column 7, line 4; column 10, lines 20-28) to a remote distributed device (column 5, lines 35-51);

- instructions to measure at least one environmental condition with the one or more remote environmental sensors coupled to the remote distributed device (i.e. sensors of monitoring devices detect temperature data; column 6, lines 60-column 7, line 13);
- instructions to determine a location of the remote distributed device (i.e. location data of monitoring device; column 9, lines 61-65); and
- instructions to transmit environmental data corresponding to the at least one measured environmental condition, location data corresponding to the location of the remote distributed device (i.e. monitoring units transmit tracking data to server; column 13, lines 38-42), and an identifier corresponding to the one or more remote environmental sensors (i.e. pin numbers of monitoring units; column 13, lines 35-42).

However the reference fails to disclose instructions to receive a beneficial incentive to form a sensor based distributed processing system, wherein the instructions cause the sensor based distributed processing system to be formed by coupling one or more remote environmental sensors to a remote distributed device, the beneficial incentive being based at least in part on a type of the one or more remote environmental sensors. Nonetheless, this would have been an obvious modification to the teachings of Smith for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, as further evidenced by Biorge.

In an analogous art, Biorge discloses a system for allocating and redeeming incentivized credits for devices (abstract). Biorge further discloses receive a beneficial

incentive to form a sensor based distributed processing system (i.e. incentive system; Figure 3), wherein the instructions cause the sensor based distributed processing system to be formed by coupling one or more remote environmental sensors to a remote distributed device (i.e. customer device in communication with the provider device to exchange information; column 7, line 65-column 8, line 5), (i.e. incentives for user devices to be involved in transactions; column 5, lines 20-33), the beneficial incentive being based at least in part on a type of the one or more remote environmental sensors (i.e. incentives based on incentive code and customer device identification for a particular device; column 5, lines 50-62; column 8, lines 15-30). One of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the server of Smith to provide incentives so as to encourage certain user actions and further increase data gathered by the system by continued user (i.e. future use; Biorge; column 1, lines 25-37).

In reference to claim 49, Smith discloses a tracking control and logistics method for employing remotely located sensors via a network (abstract). Smith further discloses:

- A method comprising:
- identifying, by one or more server systems (server identifies the monitoring devices; column 13, lines 25-30), one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. monitoring device identification number; column 13, lines 30-38) configured to sense a condition (i.e. sensors of monitoring devices detect temperature data; column 6, lines 60-column 7, line 13) ;

- incorporation of the one or more remote distributed devices into the distributed computing platform to provide data corresponding to a sensed condition (i.e. location data of monitoring device; column 9, lines 61-65), being based, at least in part, upon one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. actions based on capabilities of the monitoring device; column 7, lines 4-33);
- receiving, by the one or more server systems, data from the remote distributed devices by that have been incorporated into the distributed computing platform (i.e. server receives tracking data from the monitor units; column 13, lines 38-42);
and

However the reference fails to disclose identifying, by the one or more servers, one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices; and incentivizing, by the one or more server systems, incorporation of the one or more remote distributed devices into a distributed computing platform to provide data corresponding to a sensed condition, the incentivizing being based, at least in part, upon one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices. Nonetheless, this would have been an obvious modification to the teachings of Smith for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, as further evidenced by Biorge.

In an analogous art, Biorge discloses a system for allocating and redeeming incentivized credits for devices (abstract). Biorge further discloses identifying, by the one or more servers, one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote

distributed devices (i.e. device information to the base processor; column 11, lines 40-50); and incentivizing, by the one or more server systems, incorporation of the one or more remote distributed devices into a distributed computing platform (i.e. incentivizing system; Figure 3) to provide data corresponding to a sensed condition (i.e. customer device in communication with the provider device to exchange information; column 7, line 65-column 8, line 5), (i.e. incentives for user devices to be involved in transactions; column 5, lines 20-33), the incentivizing being based, at least in part, upon the one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. incentives based on incentive code and customer device identification for a particular device; column 5, lines 50-62; column 8, lines 15-30). One of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the server of Smith to provide incentives so as to encourage certain user actions and further increase data gathered by the system by continued user (i.e. future use; Biorge; column 1, lines 25-37).

In reference to claim 54, Smith discloses a tracking control and logistics method for employing remotely located sensors via a network (abstract). Smith further discloses:

- A system comprising:
- A means for identifying (i.e. server; Figure 3-item 104), by one or more server systems (server identifies the monitoring devices; column 13, lines 25-30), one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. monitoring device identification number; column 13, lines 30-38) configured to sense a condition (i.e. sensors of

monitoring devices detect temperature data; column 6, lines 60-column 7, line 13) ;

- Means for incorporation (i.e. server; Figure 3-item 104) of the one or more remote distributed devices into the distributed computing platform to provide data corresponding to a sensed condition (i.e. location data of monitoring device; column 9, lines 61-65), being based, at least in part, upon one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. actions based on capabilities of the monitoring device; column 7, lines 4-33);
- Means for receiving (i.e. server; Figure 3-item 104), by the one or more server systems, data from the remote distributed devices by that have been incorporated into the distributed computing platform (i.e. server receives tracking data from the monitor units; column 13, lines 38-42); and

However the reference fails to disclose means for identifying, by the one or more servers, one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices; and incentivizing, by the one or more server systems, incorporation of the one or more remote distributed devices into a distributed computing platform to provide data corresponding to a sensed condition, the incentivizing being based, at least in part, upon one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices. Nonetheless, this would have been an obvious modification to the teachings of Smith for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, as further evidenced by Borge.

In an analogous art, Biorge discloses a system for allocating and redeeming incentivized credits for devices (abstract). Biorge further discloses identifying, by the one or more servers, one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. device information to the base processor; column 11, lines 40-50); and incentivizing, by the one or more server systems, incorporation of the one or more remote distributed devices into a distributed computing platform (i.e. incentivizing system; Figure 3) to provide data corresponding to a sensed condition (i.e. customer device in communication with the provider device to exchange information; column 7, line 65-column 8, line 5), (i.e. incentives for user devices to be involved in transactions; column 5, lines 20-33), the incentivizing being based, at least in part, upon the one or more capabilities associated with the one or more remote distributed devices (i.e. incentives based on incentive code and customer device identification for a particular device; column 5, lines 50-62; column 8, lines 15-30). One of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the server of Smith to provide incentives so as to encourage certain user actions and further increase data gathered by the system by continued user (i.e. future use; Biorge; column 1, lines 25-37).

In reference to claims 30, 38 and 46 Smith discloses wherein the one or more remote distributed devices are configured to sense an environmental condition with at least one environmental sensor, the environmental sensor comprising one or more of a biometrics

detection sensor, an early warning sensor, a network intrusion sensor, a radio frequency identification sensors, or a system security sensor (column 7, lines 1-22).

In reference to claims 31, 39 and 45 Smith discloses wherein the environmental data comprises one or more of temperature data, humidity data, video data, or identification parameter data (column 7, lines 1-22).

In reference to claims 32 and 40 Smith discloses, wherein the location data comprises one or more of Global Positioning System coordinates, an address, or a network address (column 9, lines 60-65).

In reference to claims 33, 41 and 44 Borge discloses wherein to incentivize comprises supplying the one or more remote distributed devices with one or more of a sweepstakes entry, a monetary reward, a non-monetary reward, a connectivity service, internet access, domain name hosting, or an E-mail account (column 3, lines 13-21).

In reference to claim 34, Borge discloses wherein the server system is further configured to select one or more remote distributed devices based in part on a location of the one or more remote distributed devices and/or the at least one environmental sensor (column 5, lines 50-61).

In reference to claims 35, 42 and 47 Smith discloses wherein the server system is further configured to store the environmental data and the location data based in part on an identifier associated with the one or more remote distributed devices and/or the at least one environmental sensor (column 13, lines 35-42).

In reference to claim 36, Smith wherein the server system is further configured to transfer the environmental data and the location data to a customer system (column 13, lines 42-50).

In reference to claim 38, Smith discloses further comprising instructions to wirelessly receive data from the one or more environmental sensors (column 5, lines 50-55).

In reference to claim 51, Smith shows the method of claim 49, wherein the one or more capabilities comprise capabilities associated with an ability to provide infrastructure support for one or more sensors (i.e. data reporting, logging, and collection capabilities of the monitoring device; column 7, lines 14-45).

In reference to claim 52, Smith shows the method of claim 49, wherein the one or more capabilities comprise capabilities associated with an ability to provide infrastructure support for sensors comprising one or more of power sensors, communication services sensors, recording sensors, or data logging services sensors (column 7, lines 14-45).

In reference to claim 53, Smith shows the method of claim 49, wherein the one or more capabilities comprise capabilities associated with storage capabilities of the one or more remote distributed devices (column 7, lines 14-45).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LASHANYA R. NASH whose telephone number is (571)272-3957. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,210

Page 20

Art Unit: 2453

/LaShanya R Nash/

Examiner, Art Unit 2453

June 5, 2010

/Philip J Chea/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2453